1 2 3 4	THOMAS L. SANSONETTI Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Div United States Department of Justice PHILLIP A. BROOKS Environmental Enforcement Section United States Department of Justice	ision
5 6 7 8	DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney Central District of California SUZETTE CLOVER Assistant United States Attorney Central District of California 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012	
9 10 11 12 13 14	(213) 894-2442 (213) 894-2442 (2A Bar #89066 J. ANDREW HELMLINGER Assistant Regional Counsel United States Environmental Protection 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 972-3904 CA Bar #212286 Counsel for the United States Environmental Protection Agency	Agency
16 17 18	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
19 20 21 22 23 24	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., Plaintiffs, v. J.B. STRINGFELLOW, JR., et al., Defendants.	CASE NO. CIV 83-2501 R [PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE SETTLING DEFENDANTS RESOLVING ALL CLAIMS BETWEEN THEM RELATED TO THE STRINGFELLOW SUPERFUND SITE
2425262728	· ·	to by the United States of America (the States Environmental Protection Agency
	II .	

1 (2 (3 § 4 S 5 (6 I

("EPA") pursuant to Section 122 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9622(h)(1), and pursuant to the authority of the Attorney General of the United States to compromise and settle claims of the United States.

This Consent Decree is entered into by the United States and the Settling Defendants (as that term is defined herein) to resolve the claims in this suit regarding the Stringfellow Superfund Site, as set forth more specifically herein. Each Party consents to and will not contest the authority of the United States to enter into this Consent Decree.

I. RECITALS

- 1. Whereas, this Consent Decree concerns the Stringfellow Acid Pits Superfund Remedial Site (the "Stringfellow Site") located near Glen Avon, California. The Stringfellow Site was a disposal facility for liquid industrial wastes that operated from 1956 to 1972. The wastes received at the Stringfellow Site were "Class 1 wastes," many of which now are listed as "hazardous substances" pursuant to CERCLA. The Stringfellow Site is a "facility" as defined by Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9);
- 2. Whereas, in 1983, the United States and the state of California (the "State") filed suit in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (the "Court") under CERCLA and other federal laws, seeking reimbursement of response costs and injunctive relief from the defendants to remedy the release of hazardous substances from the Stringfellow Site. The State also alleged state law theories of liability seeking similar remedies. The suit alleged that the various defendants alternatively had owned or operated, arranged for the disposal of hazardous substances at, or transported hazardous substances to, the Stringfellow

CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

and the State. The counterclaims against the State were based on allegations that

Site. Many of these defendants asserted counterclaims against the United States

the State was a liable party under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.

§ 9607(a), and that the State is liable under various theories of State law. The

defendants in this action asserted cross-claims against many third party

defendants, including the Third Party Defendants identified herein;

3. Whereas, in 1987, the United States and the State obtained a ruling from the Court that many of the first-party defendants are "liable parties" under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). In 1989, the Court found the State also is a "liable party." On October 23, 1992, the Court entered a consent decree (the "1992 Consent Decree") between the United States, the State, and certain defendants, through which the defendants agreed to reimburse the United States for certain past response costs, certain future response costs, and interest accrued thereon.¹ The 1992 Consent Decree tied the timing of the reimbursement of the

majority of the past response costs to the final resolution of the State's liability as alleged in the certain counterclaims against the State;

Whereas, in 1995, the Court determined that the State, as between other liable parties, is responsible for all response actions and costs related to the Stringfellow Site.

Whereas, all of the liability determinations are more fully set out in the District Court's Order Directing Entry of Judgment Pursuant to Rule 54(b), entered September 11, 1998, and the Judgment Pursuant to Rule 54(b), entered

¹ The defendant signatories of the 1992 Consent Decree are all Settling Defendants herein. The remaining Settling Defendant here, Alcan Aluminum Corporation, entered its own separate agreement with the United States and therefore was not a signatory to the 1992 Consent Decree.

- 6. Whereas, in December 1998, the State, the Settling Defendants and Rainbow Canyon Manufacturing Company entered into an agreement titled the "December 1998 Stringfellow Site Agreement." Therein, the State *inter alia* assumed all responsibility for the Stringfellow Site as of March 1, 1999; agreed to pay certain past and future response costs of the United States; and released the Settling Defendants from any claim that the State had with respect to costs incurred by it prior to January 1, 1999, or at any time thereafter. Separately, the State entered into mutual release agreements with the Third Party Defendants and other third party defendants.
- States and the Settling Defendants, the Stipulation and Order Resolving Issues

 Arising Under the 1992 Consent Decree with Respect to the United States' Claims

 For Certain Response Costs. This agreement framed two significant cost
 reimbursement issues arising from the 1992 Consent Decree. First, the agreement
 acknowledged that, as of April 30, 1996, the Settling Defendants had a carry
 forward credit in the amount of \$1,440,640.32 to be applied towards their
 obligation to reimburse the United States for certain past response costs under the
 1992 Consent Decree (the "1992 Consent Decree Credit"). Second, the agreement
 acknowledged a dispute regarding reimbursement to the United States for certain
 costs incurred in "oversight" of the Settling Defendants' response activities at the
 Stringfellow Site. Pending a judicial determination of the Settling Defendants'
 obligation to reimburse the United States for oversight costs, the Settling
 Defendants placed into separate interest bearing escrows \$778,988.35 and

CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS

Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

\$1,339,659.35 (the "Contested Oversight Escrows"). Taking into account
authorized disbursements and interest accrued, as of October 28, 2003, the
combined value of the Contested Oversight Escrows is \$1,642,158.27;

- 8. Whereas, the United States contends that the 1992 Consent Decree Credit was applied to and subsequently exhausted by response costs that the United States incurred between May 1, 1996, and December 31, 2000, and the Settling Defendants have requested an accounting thereof;
- 9. Whereas, on July 20, 2001, the Court entered a consent decree between the United States and the State (the "2001 State/United States Consent Decree"). Therein, the State agreed to satisfy, and subsequently did satisfy the United States' claims for response costs through December 31, 2000, in satisfaction of any liability of the Settling Defendants through the 1992 Consent Decree. The State further agreed to perform all future response actions that have been or will be selected for the Stringfellow Site, and to pay all response costs incurred by the United States after December 31, 2000;
- 10. Whereas, on August 19, 2002, the Court entered a final consent decree between the Settling Defendants and the State (the "2002 State/Settling Defendants Consent Decree"), which embodied the terms and commitments of the December 1998 Stringfellow Site Agreement (as amended by a subsequent Memorandum of Understanding). As a result, all claims asserted between the State and the Settling Defendants have been dismissed by the Court with prejudice, although the consent decree provides that the Court retains jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing the consent decree. Moreover, all appeals related to this litigation have been dismissed with prejudice;
 - 11. Whereas, subject to the terms and conditions stated in this Consent Decree,

27 CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS
Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

the United States and the Settling Defendants hereby enter this Consent Decree (a) 1 2 providing for the disbursement of funds held in the Contested Oversight Escrows; 3 (b) resolving the Settling Defendants' 1992 Consent Decree Credit; (c) providing 4 for certain covenants not to sue; (d) granting contribution protection to the Settling 5 Defendants; and (e) effecting the dismissal with prejudice, except as reserved 6 herein, of all pending claims between the United States and the Settling 7 Defendants arising out of the Stringfellow Site. 8 II. JURISDICTION 9 12. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this suit under 28 10 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345; 42 U.S.C. §§ 6973, 9604, 9606(a), 9607(a), and 11 9613(b); and 33 U.S.C. §§ 1321, 1364, and 6973. The Court has personal 12 jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants, which, solely for the purposes of this 13 Consent Decree and the underlying civil action, waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. 14 15 III. PARTIES BOUND 16 13. This Consent Decree shall be binding on the United States and the Settling 17 Defendants. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status of any of 18 the Settling Defendants, including but not limited to any transfer of assets or real 19 or personal property, shall in no way alter such rights or responsibilities under this 20 Consent Decree. 21 IV. DEFINITIONS Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent 22 14. Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under 23 24 CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such 25 regulations. Whenever the capitalized terms listed below are used in this Consent 26 CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES 27

OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

Stringfellow Site, which are recoverable pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, and include, but are not limited to: direct and indirect costs incurred in reviewing or developing work plans, reports or other documents; verifying the performance of investigative, planning or remedial work; payroll, contractor, travel and laboratory costs; costs otherwise incurred implementing, overseeing or enforcing any person's remedial obligations; and accrued Superfund Interest on any such amounts (at the applicable rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)).

- j. "Pyrite Canyon Group, Inc." shall mean the incorporated, joint-defense entity formed by the Settling Defendants.
- k. "Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral and including one or more paragraphs, unless the reference indicates otherwise.
- 1. "Settling Defendants" shall mean Defendants Alcan Aluminum
 Corporation; Quemetco, Inc.; The Boeing Company (successor to
 Boeing North American, Inc., which was sued as Rockwell
 International Corporation); General Electric Company; McDonnell
 Douglas Corporation; Millennium Petrochemicals, Inc. (successor of
 Quantum Chemical Company, sued as National Distillers and
 Chemical Corporation); Northrop Grumman Corporation (formerly
 Northrop Corporation); NI Industries, Inc. (an indirect subsidiary of
 TriMas Corporation); Alumax Inc.; The Deutsch Company; BF

Goodrich Aerostructures (sued as Rohr, Inc.); J.B. Stringfellow, Jr.; Stringfellow Quarry Company; Stringfellow Quarry Company, Inc.; Montrose Chemical Corporation of California; Rheem Manufacturing Company; Weyerhaeuser Company; and Bayer CropScience, Inc. (successor by merger to Stauffer Chemical Company). The term shall include the Settling Defendants' respective predecessors.

- m. "Stringfellow Site" shall mean the Stringfellow Superfund Site,
 located near Glen Avon, in Riverside County, California, as more specifically described in the 1992 Consent Decree and in the Record of Decision attached thereto.
- n. "Third-Party Defendants" shall mean Fansteel, Inc.; Quaker Chemical Corporation; Spectrolab, Inc.; and the "Group of 21" third-party defendants identified in the "Notice And Motion Of The Group Of 21 Third Party Defendants For Determination Of Good Faith Settlement" on file in this suit.
- o. "United States" shall mean the United States of America, including its departments, agencies, and instrumentalities.

V. 1992 CONSENT DECREE SUPERCEDED

15. By this Consent Decree, the United States and the Settling Defendants agree that the obligations required by Sections VI, VII, VIII, X, XI, XIV, XV, and XXIX of the 1992 Consent Decree are satisfied. Pursuant to Section XXX of the 1992 Consent Decree, the United States and the Settling Defendants agree that this Consent Decree succeeds to and supercedes all remaining obligations under the 1992 Consent Decree, including site access and record preservation.

CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES

OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS

VI. DISBURSEMENT OF FUNDS IN THE CONTESTED OVERSIGHT ESCROWS

16. Concurrently with the execution of this Consent Decree, the Parties have executed escrow instructions, attached hereto as Attachment A, which direct that the total sum of the funds held in the Contested Oversight Escrows be disbursed to the United States Hazardous Substance Superfund in accordance with the escrow instructions (and in accordance with the Parties' prior agreements creating and governing the Contested Oversight Escrows). The Parties agree that, at any time after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, the United States may deliver the escrow instructions to the agent designated for the Contested Oversight Escrows to obtain such disbursement; provided, however, that the United States' failure to deliver such instructions shall have no effect on the terms of this Consent Decree. The United States shall lodge notice with the Court after the disbursement provided for in this Paragraph is complete.

VII. RESOLUTION OF THE 1992 CONSENT DECREE CREDIT

17. The Settling Defendants hereby agree that response costs for which the United States was entitled to reimbursement from the Settling Defendants pursuant to the 1992 Consent Decree equaled or exceeded the total amounts paid by the Settling Defendants pursuant to the 1992 Consent Decree, thereby extinguishing the 1992 Consent Decree Credit. The Settling Defendants waive any and all rights to any further itemized accounting of the United States expenditures relating to the 1992 Consent Decree Credit. The Settling Defendants also waive any an all claims, of any kind, relating to the 1992 Consent Decree Credit.

VIII. UNITED STATES' COVENANTS NOT TO SUE

18. Except as specifically provided in Paragraph 19 below, the United States covenants not to sue or take administrative action against the Settling Defendants

under Section 106 or 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606 and 9607(a), with regard to Past Response Costs incurred in connection with the Stringfellow Site.

- 19. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against the Settling Defendants with respect to all matters not expressly included within the covenant set forth in Paragraph 18. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves all rights against the Settling Defendants with respect to:
 - a. liability for failure of the Settling Defendants to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;
 - b. criminal liability;
 - liability for damages or injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for costs of any natural resource damage assessments;
 and
 - d. liability, based upon the ownership or operation of the Stringfellow Site after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, or upon the transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal, or the arrangement for the transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal, of a hazardous substance or a solid waste at the Stringfellow Site after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree.
- 20. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right of the United States to compel in this civil suit, in a new civil suit, or by administrative process, any of the Settling Defendants to perform response actions relating to the Stringfellow Site (other than those provided for under the 1992 Consent Decree), or to reimburse the United States for response costs incurred after the lodging of this Consent Decree; provided that such rights shall arise only

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

if, and shall exist only to the extent that, (1) the United States has sought a judicial decree or issued an administrative order requiring the State to perform such response actions or to reimburse the United States for such additional response costs; (2) the State fails or refuses to implement or comply with such judicial decree or administrative order; and (3) a district court of competent jurisdiction, in response to a request by the United States, fails or refuses to compel the State to perform such response actions or to reimburse the United States for such additional response costs. 21.

The United States recognizes that the Settling Defendants have an interest in any action against the State regarding the Stringfellow Site, including to enforce the Settling Defendants' rights against the State under the December 1998 Stringfellow Site Agreement and 2002 State/Settling Defendants Consent Decree. The United States agrees that it shall give notice of any such action to the representatives of the Settling Defendants, as designated in Paragraph 45 of this Consent Decree. Notice to the representatives designated pursuant to Paragraph 45 of this Consent Decree shall be deemed sufficient. Failure to give notice shall not limit the rights of the United States in any action against the State, nor shall it affect the liability of any Settling Defendant.

22. Except as provided in Paragraph 28, nothing in this Consent Decree is intended to be nor shall it be construed as a release, covenant not to sue, or compromise of any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the United States may have against any person, firm, corporation or other entity not a Party to this Consent Decree.

25

26

28

CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES

27 OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS

Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

IX. THE SETTLING DEFENDANTS' COVENANTS NOT TO SUE

- 23. Except as specifically provided in Paragraph 24 and 25, and except in the case of the United States taking action against the Settling Defendants pursuant to Paragraph 20 of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants covenant not to sue or take administrative action against the United States, or its contractors or employees, with regard to the Stringfellow Site. Such covenant expressly includes, without limitation:
 - a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, based on Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 12, or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9607, 9611, 9612, or 9613, or any other provision of law;
 - b. any claim arising out of response activities at the Stringfellow Site, including any claim under the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended, or at common law; and
 - c. any claim against the United States pursuant to Sections 107 and 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613, relating to the Stringfellow Site.
- 24. The Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue or to assert any civil or administrative claim or cause of action against any other party under Sections 107 or 113(f) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613(f), to the same extent as the Settling Defendants are protected against claims in Section X ("Contribution Protection"); provided, however, that this covenant shall not prevent the Settling

- 2 3 4 6 7 8 11 14 15 17 18 21 22 23
- 1 Defendants from asserting any rights that they may have (1) against the State; or
 - 2 (2) against any entity in the event that the United States institutes proceedings
- 3 under Paragraphs 19 or 20 of this Consent Decree.
- 4 \ 25. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the Settling
- 5 Defendants reserve the right to assert contribution claims against the United States
- 6 Navy and the United States Air Force (1) for any claims that might be brought
- 7 | against the Settling Defendants pursuant to Paragraph 19(c) or 20 of this Consent
- 8 | Decree; and (2) for such claims as are preserved in the May 2001 "Settlement
- Agreement Between Counterclaim Defendant United States, The Stringfellow
- 10 | Entities, and Third-Party Plaintiffs/Counterclaimants."
- 11 \ 26. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute approval or
- 12 preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42
- 13 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

X. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

- 5 | 27. The Parties agree, and by entering this Consent Decree the Court finds, that
- 16 the Settling Defendants are entitled, as of the Effective Date of this Consent
- 17 Decree, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by Section
- 18 | 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), for "matters addressed" in this
- 19 Consent Decree. "Matters addressed" for the purpose of this Section shall mean
- 20 the Past Response Costs, and any issues addressed in Paragraphs 18 and 20 of this
- 21 | Consent Decree.

XI. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT/DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS

- Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or
- 24 | grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree;
- 25 provided, however, that if, as of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, a Third

2627

Consent Decree Between the United States of America and Settling Defendants

28 Case Ni

Party Defendant has executed a release of all claims that the Third Party 1 2 Defendant has against the United States with regard to the Stringfellow Site, in a 3 form of release acceptable to the United States (e.g. Attachment B, attached 4 hereto) then the covenant set forth in Paragraph 18 of this Consent Decree and the contribution protection provided in Paragraph 27 of this Consent Decree shall 5 extend to such Third Party Defendant (subject to the exceptions in Paragraphs 19 6 7 and 20 of this Consent Decree as applicable to such Third Party Defendant). 8 29. This Consent Decree represents a good faith settlement by the Parties. No 9 Party to this Consent Decree is admitting to any liability with respect to matters 10 discussed in this Consent Decree or the subject matter of this Consent Decree. 11 Neither the terms of this Consent Decree, nor a Party's performance or failure to 12 perform any obligation in this Consent Decree, shall be used by any party (whether 13 or not such person or entity is a Party to this Consent Decree) for any purpose 14 whatsoever or be admitted in evidence in any court or administrative tribunal for any purpose whatsoever. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Consent Decree may 15 16 be used by any Party hereto for the following limited purposes: (a) to the extent 17 necessary to enforce the specific terms of this Consent Decree; or (b) as the basis 18 for a demand or demands to any Parties' respective insurance carriers. 19 30. On the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, all claims among the United States and the Settling Defendants that are covered by the respective covenants in 20 this Consent Decree will be resolved. The United States and the Settling 21 22 Defendants agree that all claims asserted in the suit as between the United States 23 (on the one hand) and the Settling Defendants (on the other hand) shall be dismissed with prejudice as of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, subject 24 25 to Paragraph 20. The Court will retain continuing jurisdiction over the action to

26

CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

28

7 8

adjudicate any matters arising out of this Consent Decree and to allow the lodging of the final consent decree between the United States and the State anticipated by the court-approved 2001 State/United States Consent Decree.

31. On the Court's entry of this Consent Decree, with the single exception of the United States' claims against Paul and Lucille Hubbs, all pending claims in this action have been resolved. Upon the resolution of the United States' claims against Paul and Lucille Hubbs, this action shall be placed on the administratively closed docket pending submission of a final consent decree between the State and the United States.

XII. STIPULATED PENALTIES

32. If any Settling Defendant fails to fulfill any obligations of this Consent Decree, such individual Settling Defendant shall be in violation of this Consent Decree. EPA may give the individual Settling Defendant written notification of the violation and describe the noncompliance. Stipulated penalties shall accrue in the amount of one thousand dollars (\$1000.00) for each Day of the violation, calculated from the first date of the violation and until the violation is corrected. EPA may send the Settling Defendant a written demand for the payment of the penalties. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of multiple penalties for separate violations of this Consent Decree, including violations by separate Settling Defendants.

33. All stipulated penalties are due and payable within thirty (30) Days of the date of a written demand for payment of the penalties by EPA. The check, or a letter accompanying the check, shall reference the Stringfellow Site, Site #094U, identify the payment as "stipulated penalties," and be made by certified or cashier's check made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund." The

CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

1	payment shall be directed as follows:			
2	Hazardous Substance Superfund			
3	Hazardous Substance Superfund U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 Attn: David Wood, Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M			
4	P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251			
5	At the time of any payment, the Settling Defendant shall send notice that such			
6	payment has been made to EPA and DOJ in accordance with Section XVII			
7	(Notices and Submissions).			
8	34. Penalties shall accrue as provided in this Section regardless of whether EPA			
9	has notified the Settling Defendant of the violation or made a demand for payment,			
10	but need only be paid on demand. Notwithstanding any other provision of this			
11	Section, the United States may, in its unreviewable discretion, waive any portion			
12	of stipulated penalties that have accrued pursuant to this Consent Decree.			
13	XIII. SITE ACCESS			
14	35. Commencing on the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, any Settling			
15	Defendant with an ownership interest or other control over the Stringfellow Site,			
16	by this Consent Decree, agrees to provide EPA and its representatives and			
17	contractors access at all reasonable times to the Stringfellow Site for the			
18	implementation of any response activity related to the Stringfellow Site, including			
19	but not limited to:			
20	a. Monitoring, investigation, removal, remedial or other activities			
21	at the Stringfellow Site;			
22	b. Verifying any data or information submitted to EPA;			
23	c. Conducting investigations relating to contamination at or near			
24	the Stringfellow Site;			
25	d. Obtaining samples;			
26				
27	ONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS			
28	Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R			

- e. Assessing the need for, planning, or implementing response actions at or near the Stringfellow Site; and
- f. Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts, or other documents maintained or generated by the Settling Defendants or their agents, consistent with Section XIV (Access to Information).
- 36. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, EPA retains all of its access authorities and rights, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA and any other applicable statutes or regulations.

XIV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

- 37. For the purposes of Paragraphs 38 through 43 alone, the term "Settling Defendants" shall mean the Settling Defendants and the Pyrite Canyon Group, Inc.
- 38. For the period set forth in Paragraph 42 of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants shall provide to the EPA, on request, copies of all documents and information within their possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to activities at the Stringfellow Site, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to response actions at the Stringfellow Site.
- 39. The Settling Defendants may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to the EPA under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Documents or information determined to be confidential by the EPA will be accorded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality

CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

8

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

accompanies documents or information when they are submitted to the EPA, or if the EPA has notified the Settling Defendants that the documents or information are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, the public may be given access to such documents or information without further notice to the Settling Defendants.

- 40. The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents or information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege in lieu of providing documents or information, they shall provide the EPA with the following: (1) the title of the document or information; (2) the date of the document or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the subject of the document or information; and (6) the privilege asserted. However, no documents or information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this or any other judicial or administrative settlement with the United States shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a document or information, the document or information shall be provided to the EPA in redacted form to mask the privileged portion only. The Settling Defendants shall retain all documents and information that they claim to be privileged until the EPA has had a reasonable opportunity to dispute the privilege claim and any such dispute has been resolved in the Settling Defendants' favor.
- 41. No claim of confidentiality shall be made with respect to any data, including but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, or engineering data, or any other documents or information evidencing

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

26

27

28

XV. RETENTION OF RECORDS

- 42. Until five (5) years after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants shall preserve and retain all documents or information (not including duplicates without any distinguishing or differentiating features) now in their possession or control, or which come into their possession or control, that relate in any manner to response actions taken at the Stringfellow Site or to the liability of any person for response actions or response costs at or in connection with the Stringfellow Site, regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary.
- 43. After the conclusion of the document retention period in the preceding Paragraph, the Settling Defendants shall notify the EPA at least ninety (90) Days prior to the destruction of any such documents or information, and, on request by the EPA, the Settling Defendants shall deliver any such documents or information to the EPA. The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents or information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege, they shall provide the EPA with the following: (1) the title of the document or information; (2) the date of the document or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the subject of the document or information; and (6) the privilege asserted. However, no documents or information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this or any other judicial or administrative settlement with the United States shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. If a claim of privilege applies only to a

1 p 2 p 3 S 4 b 5 p

portion of a document or information, the document or information shall be provided to the EPA in redacted form to mask the privileged portion only. The Settling Defendants shall retain all documents and information that they claim to be privileged until the EPA has had a reasonable opportunity to dispute the privilege claim and any such dispute has been resolved in the Settling Defendants' favor.

XVI. CERTIFICATION

- 44. By signing this Consent Decree, each Settling Defendant certifies individually that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, it has:
- a. complied with all discovery requests in this litigation and fully complied with any and all requests for information pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e); and,
- b. not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any original records, documents or other information relating to the Settling Defendants' potential liability regarding the Stringfellow Site since the filing of the present suit; provided, however, that some documents may have been destroyed in the course of routine document management, and others may be lost or mis-filed in various document retention systems.

XVII. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

45. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of this Consent Decree. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, notice is required to be given or a document is required to be sent to any individual Settling Defendant, it shall be directed to the individual signing this Consent Decree on behalf of the Party, at the address accompanying his/her signature, unless the individual or his/her successor(s) gives notice of a

CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

1	change to the United States in writing. For the purpose of notice pursuant to		
2	Paragraph 21 of this Consent Decree, the designated representatives of the Settlin		
3	Defendants shall be:		
4	Allan J. Topol Covington & Burling		
5	Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20044		
7	David L. Mulliken		
8	Latham & Watkins LLP Suite 1800 600 West Broadway		
9	San Diego, CA 92101-3375		
10	Thomas F. Koegel		
11	Folger, Levin & Kalm LLC Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street 23rd Floor		
12	275 Battery Street, 23 rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111		
13	The Settling Defendants may designate different representatives at any time		
14	after providing written notice, including name and address, to the United States.		
15	All notices required under the terms of this Consent Decree to be sent to the		
16	United States shall be sent to the following representatives, unless the United		
17	States otherwise provides notice of a change to the Settling Parties in writing:		
18	Charnjit Bhullar (SFD-7) United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9		
19	75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105		
20	Phillip A. Brooks		
21 22	United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station		
23	Washington, D.C. 20044		
24	XVIII. INTEGRATION		
25	46. This Consent Decree constitutes the final, complete, and exclusive		
26	agreement and understanding among the Parties with respect to the settlement		
27	CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS		
28	Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R		

embodied in this Consent Decree. The Parties acknowledge that there are no representations, agreements, or understandings relating to the settlement other than those expressly contained in this Consent Decree.

XIX. PUBLIC COMMENT

47. This Consent Decree shall be subject to a public comment period of not less than thirty (30) Days pursuant to Section 122(i) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(i). In accordance with Section 122(i)(3) of CERCLA, the United States may modify or withdraw its consent to this Consent Decree if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that this Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.

XX. EFFECTIVE DATE

- 48. The Effective Date of this Consent Decree shall be the date on which this Consent Decree is entered by the Court.
- 49. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, it shall be voidable at the discretion of the United States or the Settling Defendants, and thereafter its terms may not be used as evidence in any litigation or other proceedings between or among the Parties. For purposes of this Paragraph, the right of the Settling Defendants to void this Consent Decree shall be exercised only on the decision of a simple majority of the Settling Defendants, but shall nonetheless be binding on all Settling Defendants.

XXI. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

50. The Court shall retain jurisdiction as to all claims resolved herein for the sole purpose of enforcing this Consent Decree.

27 CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES
OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS
Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

XXII. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

2	51. Each individual executing this Consent Decree on behalf of a Party		
3	identified below certifies that he/she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and		
4	conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and bind legally such Party to		
5	this Consent Decree.		
6	52. The Attorney General or his designee has approved the settlement embodies		
7	in this Agreement in accordance with Section 122(h)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.		
8	§ 9622(h)(1).		
9	53. This Consent Decree may be executed in any number of counterparts, each		
10	of which shall together constitute one and the same document. Each signature and		
11	counterpart may be compiled into a single document to submit to the court for		
12	approval and entry.		
13			
14			
15	SO ORDERED THIS DAY OF, 2004.		
16			
17			
18			
19	United States District Judge		
20			
21	[Signature blocks for DOJ, EPA and Settling Defendants on following pages]		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	Consent Decree Between the United States		
27	OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R		
28	24		

1 2	IT IS SO AGREED that the undersigned parties enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States v. J. B. Stringfellow</u> , relating to the Stringfellow Acid Pits:		
3	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE		
4			
5 6	By:		
	Thomas L. Sansonetti		
7	Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division		
8	United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 (202) 514-2701		
10	(202) 314-2701		
11	By: Date:		
12	Phillip Brooks Counsel to the Chief		
13	Hnvironmental Entorcement Section		
14	United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 (202) 514-3637		
15	(202) 514-3637		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	Garage Barage Barage Warran Carage		
27	CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS		
28	Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R		

i i	ϵ	
1	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
2	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	
3		
4	By:	Date:
5	Keith Takata	
6	Director, Superfund Division Region IX	
7	Director, Superfund Division Region IX United States Environmental Protection Agence 75 Hawthorne Street	y
8	San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 972-3252	
9	(113) 712 3232	
10		
11	By:	Date:
12	J. Andrew Helmlinger Assistant Regional Counsel Region IX United States Environmental Protection Agence 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 972-3904	
13	Region IX United States Environmental Protection Agence	N17
14	75 Hawthorne Street	y y
15	(415) 972-3904	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS	
28	Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R	÷

1	FOR ALCAN ALUMINUM CORPORATION	
2	2	
3		
4		
5	Lawrence A. Salibra II, Esq. Alcan Aluminum Corp.	
6	Mayfield, OH 44124-4185	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	. I de la companya d	
19		
20		
21	i e	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES	
27	OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R	:
28	27	,

1 2	FOR QUEMETCO, INC.
3	
4	By: Date:
5	Daniel M. Crowley, Esq. Booth, Mitchell & Strange LLP
6	Daniel M. Crowley, Esq. Booth, Mitchell & Strange LLP 3435 Wilshire Blvd., 30th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-2050
7	_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES
28	OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R 28

1 2 3 4 5	FOR THE BOEING COMPANY (successor to Boeing North American, Inc., which was sued as Rockwell International Corporation); GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY; McDONNELL DOUGLAS CORPORATION; MILLENNIUM PETROCHEMICALS, INC. (successor of Quantum Chemical Company; sued as National Distillers and Chemical Corporation); NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION (formerly Northrop Corporation); and NI INDUSTRIES, INC. (an indirect subsidiary of TriMas Corporation)			
6				
7	By: Date:			
8 9	Allan J. Topol, Esq. S. William Livingston, Jr., Esq. Covington & Burling			
10	1201 Pennsylvania Ävenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2401			
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27	CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS			
28	Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R			

1 2	FOR ALUMAX INC.	
3		
4	D	Data
5	By:	Date:
6	Rene P. Tatro, Esq. Johnson Tekosky LLP 444 South Flower Street, 31st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071	
7	Los Angeles, CA 90071	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27	CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES	
28	OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R 30	

1 2	FOR	R THE DEUTSCH COMPANY	
3			
4	Ву:		Date:
5			
6		Michael A. Kahn, Esq. Thomas Koegel, Esq. Folger Levin & Kahn LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
7		Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor	
8		San Francisco, CA 94111	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13		•	
14		,	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	į		
24	i		
25			
26			
27		ENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES ERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS	

Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

	I I − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −				
1	FOR BF GOODRICH AEROSTRUCTURES (sued as Rohr, Inc.)				
2					
3	70	D .			
4	By:	Date:			
5	Nancy Saunders, Esq. Bingham McCutchen LLP				
6	Nancy Saunders, Esq. Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94111				
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27	CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS				
28	Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R				

1 2	FOR STR	J.B. STRINGFELLOW, JI INGFELLOW QUARRY (R.; STRINGFEI	LLOW QU	JARRY CO	D.;	
3	DITO	iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	30., 11.01				
4			•				
5	By:		•		Dat	e:	
6	<i>D</i> _J .	Christopher P Bisgaard	Esa				
7		Christopher P. Bisgaard, James Fraser, Esq. John Shimada, Esq. Lewis, D'Amato, Brisboi 221 North Figueroa Stree Los Angeles, CA 90012					
8		Lewis, D'Amato, Brisboi	is & Bisgaard				
9		Los Angeles, CA 90012	, 54.00 2100				
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21		·					
22							
23							
24							
2 5							
26							
27		ENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED ST ERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS	ATES				
~, つ0		Number: CIV 83-2501 R	22				

FOR MONTROSE CHEMICAL CORPORATION OF CALIFORNIA By: Date: David L. Mulliken, Esq. James A. Tabb, Esq. Latham & Watkins 701 "B" Street, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101-8197 CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R

1						
2	FOR RHEEM MA	ANUFACTURIN	G COMPANY			
3						
4	Ву:			,	Date:	
5					Date	
6	Morgan, Le	eterson, Esq. ewis & Bockius Grand Avenue, 2 es, CA 90071-31	2nd Floor			
7	Los Angele	es, CA 90071-31	32			
8		•				
9						
10						
11			,			
12						
13						
14	·					
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
2122						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27	Consent Decree Betwi					
28	OF AMERICA AND SETTLII Case Number: CIV 83-25		35			
-~	ll .		33			

2	FOR WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY	
3	3	
4	4	
5		ate:
6	Vincent Fish, Esq. Law Office of Vincent Fish 301 East Colorado Blvd., Suite 200 Pasadena, CA 91101	
7	7 Pasadena, CA 91101	
8	8	
9	9	
10		
11	1	
12	2	
13	13	
14	4	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	1	
24		
25		
26	CONSENT DECREE RETWEEN THE UNITED STATES	
27	OF AMERICA AND SETTLING DEFENDANTS Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R	
28	28 36	

1 2 3	FOR BAYER CROPSCIENCE, INC. (successor by merger to Stauffer Chemical Company)
4	
5	By: Date:
6	John W. Wilmer, Jr., Esq.
7	John W. Wilmer, Jr., Esq. Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease LLP 1828 "L" Street, N.W., Suite 1111 Washington, D.C. 20036-5104
8	Washington, D.C. 20036-5104
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Consent Decree Between the United States of America and Settling Defendants Case Number: CIV 83-2501 R